```
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1340830-0
Total Deleted Page(s) = 263
Page 3 \sim b1; b3;
Page 4 \sim b1; b3;
Page 7 \sim b1; b3;
Page 8 ~ b3;
Page 9 ~ b1; b3;
Page 10 ~ b1; b3;
Page 11 ~ b1; b3;
Page 12 ~ b1; b3;
Page 13 ~ b1; b3;
Page 15 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C;
Page 16 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C;
Page 17 ~ b1; b3;
Page 18 ~ b1; b3;
Page 19 ~ b1; b3;
Page 20 ~ b1; b3;
Page 21 ~ b1; b3;
Page 22 ~ b1; b3;
Page 24 \sim b1; b3;
Page 25 ~ b1; b3;
Page 26 ~ b1; b3;
Page 27 ~ b1; b3;
Page 28 ~ b1; b3;
Page 29 ~ b1; b3;
Page 30 ~ b1; b3;
Page 31 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 32 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 33 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 34 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 35 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 36 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 37 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 38 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 39 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 40 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 41 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 42 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 43 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 44 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 45 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 46 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 47 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 48 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C;
Page 49 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 50 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 51 ~ b1; b3;
Page 52 ~ b1; b3;
Page 53 ~ b1; b3;
Page 54 ~ b1; b3;
```

```
Page 55 ~ b1; b3;
Page 56 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 57 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 58 ~ b3;
Page 59 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 60 ~ b3;
Page 62 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 63 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 67 ~ b1; b3;
Page 68 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 69 ~ b1; b3;
Page 70 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 71 ~ b3;
Page 72 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 73 \sim b1; b3;
Page 74 \sim b1; b3;
Page 75 ~ b1; b3;
Page 76 ~ b1; b3;
Page 77 ~ b1; b3;
Page 78 ~ b1; b3;
Page 79 ~ b3;
Page 80 ~ b1; b3;
Page 81 ~ b1; b3;
Page 82 ~ b1; b3;
Page 83 ~ b1; b3;
Page 84 ~ b1; b3;
Page 85 ~ b1; b3;
Page 87 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 88 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 89 ~ b1; b3;
Page 90 ~ b1; b3;
Page 91 ~ b1; b3;
Page 92 ~ b1; b3;
Page 93 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 94 \sim b1; b3; b7D;
Page 95 ~ b1; b3;
Page 97 ~ b1; b3;
Page 98 ~ b1; b3;
Page 99 ~ b1; b3;
Page 100 ~ b1; b3;
Page 101 ~ b1; b3;
Page 102 ~ b1; b3;
Page 103 ~ b1; b3;
Page 104 ~ b1; b3;
Page 105 ~ b1; b3;
Page 106 ~ b1; b3;
Page 107 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 109 ~ b1; b3;
Page 110 ~ b3;
Page 111 ~ b3;
Page 112 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 113 ~ b3;
Page 115 ~ b1; b3;
Page 116 ~ b1; b3;
```

```
Page 117 ~ b1; b3;
Page 118 ~ b3;
Page 119 ~ b1; b3;
Page 120 ~ b1; b3;
Page 121 ~ b1; b3;
Page 122 ~ b1; b3;
Page 123 ~ b1; b3;
Page 124 ~ b1; b3;
Page 125 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 126 ~ b1; b3;
Page 127 ~ b3;
Page 133 ~ b1; b3;
Page 134 ~ b1; b3;
Page 135 ~ b3; b7E;
Page 136 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 137 ~ b1; b3;
Page 138 ~ b1; b3;
Page 139 ~ b1; b3;
Page 140 ~ b1; b3;
Page 141 ~ b1; b3;
Page 142 ~ b1; b3;
Page 146 ~ b1; b3;
Page 151 ~ b1; b3;
Page 152 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 153 ~ b3;
Page 154 \sim b3;
Page 157 ~ b3;
Page 158 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 159 ~ b3;
Page 160 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 161 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 162 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 163 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 165 ~ b1; b3;
Page 166 ~ b1; b3;
Page 167 ~ b1; b3;
Page 168 ~ b1; b3;
Page 169 ~ b1; b3;
Page 170 ~ b1; b3;
Page 171 ~ b1; b3;
Page 172 ~ b1; b3;
Page 173 ~ b1; b3;
Page 174 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 175 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 176 ~ b3;
Page 177 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 178 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 179 ~ b1; b3;
Page 180 ~ b1; b3;
Page 186 ~ b3;
Page 187 ~ b3;
Page 188 ~ b3;
Page 189 ~ b3;
Page 190 ~ b3;
```

```
Page 191 ~ b3;
Page 192 ~ b3;
Page 193 ~ b3;
Page 194 \sim b3;
Page 195 ~ b3;
Page 196 ~ b3;
Page 197 ~ b3;
Page 198 ~ b3;
Page 199 ~ b3;
Page 200 ~ b3;
Page 201 ~ b3;
Page 202 ~ b3;
Page 203 ~ b3;
Page 204 ~ b3;
Page 205 ~ b3;
Page 206 ~ b3;
Page 207 ~ b3;
Page 208 ~ b3;
Page 209 ~ b3;
Page 210 ~ b3;
Page 211 ~ b3;
Page 212 ~ b3;
Page 213 ~ b3;
Page 214 ~ b3;
Page 215 ~ b3;
Page 216 ~ b1; b3;
Page 217 ~ b1; b3;
Page 218 ~ b1; b3;
Page 222 ~ b3;
Page 223 ~ b3;
Page 224 ~ b1; b3;
Page 226 ~ b1; b3;
Page 227 ~ b3;
Page 228 ~ b3;
Page 236 ~ b1; b3;
Page 237 ~ b1; b3;
Page 238 ~ b1; b3;
Page 239 ~ b1; b3;
Page 240 ~ b1; b3;
Page 241 ~ b1; b3;
Page 242 ~ b1; b3;
Page 243 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 244 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 245 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 246 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 247 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 248 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 249 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 250 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 251 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 252 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 253 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 254 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 255 ~ Referral/Direct;
```

```
Page 256 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 257 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 258 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 259 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 260 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 261 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 262 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 263 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 264 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 265 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 266 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 267 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 268 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 269 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 270 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 271 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 272 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 273 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 274 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 275 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 276 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 277 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 278 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 279 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 280 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 281 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 282 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 283 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 284 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 285 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 286 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 287 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 288 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 289 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 290 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 291 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 292 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 293 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 294 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 295 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 296 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 297 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 298 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 299 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 300 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 301 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 302 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 303 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 304 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 305 ~ b1; b3;
Page 306 ~ b1; b3;
Page 307 ~ b1; b3;
Page 310 ~ b3;
```

### 

- X Deleted Page(s) X
- X No Duplication Fee X

```
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1211520-0
Total Deleted Page(s) = 263
Page 3 ~ b1; b3;
Page 4 ~ b1; b3;
Page 7 ~ b1; b3;
Page 8 ~ b3;
Page 9 ~ b1; b3;
Page 10 ~ b1; b3;
Page 11 ~ b1; b3;
Page 12 ~ b1; b3;
Page 13 ~ b1; b3;
Page 15 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C;
Page 16 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C;
Page 17 ~ b1; b3;
Page 18 ~ b1; b3;
Page 19 ~ b1; b3;
Page 20 ~ b1; b3;
Page 21 ~ b1; b3;
Page 22 ~ b1; b3;
Page 24 ~ b1; b3;
Page 25 ~ b1; b3;
Page 26 ~ b1; b3;
Page 27 \sim b1; b3;
Page 28 ~ b1; b3;
Page 29 ~ b1; b3;
Page 30 ~ b1; b3;
Page 31 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 32 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 33 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 34 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 35 ~ Referra]/Direct;
Page 36 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 37 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 38 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 39 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 40 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 41 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 42 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 43 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 44 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 45 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 46 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 47 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 48 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C;
Page 49 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 50 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 51 ~ b1; b3;
Page 52 ~ b1; b3;
Page 53 ~ b1; b3;
Page 54 ~ b1; b3;
Page 55 ~ b1; b3;
Page 56 ~ b3; Ref
Page 57 ~ b3; Ref
                    Referral/Consult;
                    Referral/Consult;
Page 58 \sim b3;
Page 59 ~ b3;
                    b6; b7c;
Page 60 ~ b3;
Page 62 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 63 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 67 ~ b1; b3;
Page 68 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 69 ~ b1; b3;
```

```
Page 70 ~ Referral/Direct;
 Page 71 \sim b3;
                              b6; b7C;
 Page 72 ~ b3;
Page 73 ~ b1; b3;
Page 74 ~ b1; b3;
Page 75 ~ b1; b3;
Page 76 ~ b1; b3;
 Page 77 ~ b1; b3;
Page 77 ~ b1; b3;
Page 78 ~ b1; b3;
Page 80 ~ b1; b3;
Page 81 ~ b1; b3;
Page 82 ~ b1; b3;
Page 83 ~ b1; b3;
Page 84 ~ b1; b3;
Page 85 ~ b1; b3;
Page 87 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 88 ~ b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 89 ~ b1; b3;
 Page 90 ~ b1; b3;
 Page 91 ~ b1; b3;
Page 92 ~ b1; b3;
Page 93 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 94 ~ b1; b3; b7D;
Page 95 ~ b1; b3;
Page 97 ~ b1; b3;
 Page 98 ~ b1; b3;
 Page 99 ~ b1; b3;
Page 99 ~ b1; b3;
Page 100 ~ b1; b3;
Page 101 ~ b1; b3;
Page 102 ~ b1; b3;
Page 103 ~ b1; b3;
Page 104 ~ b1; b3;
Page 105 ~ b1; b3;
Page 106 ~ b1; b3;
Page 107 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;
Page 109 ~ b1; b3;
 Page 110 ~ b3
 Page 111 ~ b3;
Page 111 ~ b5;

Page 112 ~ b1; b3; Referral/Consult;

Page 113 ~ b3;

Page 115 ~ b1; b3;

Page 116 ~ b1; b3;

Page 117 ~ b1; b3;
Page 117 ~ b1; b3;
Page 118 ~ b3;
Page 119 ~ b1; b3;
Page 120 ~ b1; b3;
Page 122 ~ b1; b3;
Page 123 ~ b1; b3;
Page 124 ~ b1; b3;
Page 125 ~ Referra
Page 125 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 126 ~ b1; b3;
Page 127 ~ b3;
Page 133 ~ b1; b3;
Page 134 ~ b1; b3;
Page 135 ~ b3; b7E;
 Page 136 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
 Page 137 ~ b1; b3;
Page 138 ~ b1; b3;
Page 139 ~ b1; b3;
Page 140 ~ b1; b3;
 Page 141 ~ b1; b3;
 Page 142 ~ b1; b3;
 Page 146 ~ b1; b3;
```

```
Page 151 ~ b1; b3;
Page 152 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 153 ~ b3;
Page 154 ~ b3;
Page 157 ~ b3;
Page 158 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 159 ~ b3;
Page 160 ~ b3; b6; b7C;
Page 160 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 162 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 163 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 165 ~ b1; b3;
Page 166 ~ b1; b3;
Page 167 ~ b1; b3;
Page 168 ~ b1; b3;
Page 169 ~ b1;
Page 170 ~ b1;
Page 171 ~ b1;
                      b3;
                      b3;
                      b3;
Page 172 ~ b1; b3;
Page 173 ~ b1; b3;
Page 174 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 175 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 176 ~ b3;
Page 177 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 178 ~ b1; b3; b6; b7C; b7D; Referral/Consult;
Page 179 ~ b1; b3;
Page 180 ~ b1; b3;
Page 186 ~ b3;
Page 187 ~ b3;
Page 188 ~ b3;
Page 189 ~ b3;
Page 190 ~ b3;
Page 191 ~ b3;
Page 192 ~ b3;
Page 193 ~ b3;
Page 194 ~ b3;
Page 195 ~ b3;
Page 196 ~ b3;
Page 197 ~ b3;
Page 198 ~ b3;
Page 199 ~ b3;
Page 200 ~ b3;
Page 201 ~ b3;
Page 202 ~ b3;
Page 203 ~ b3;
Page 204 ~ b3;
Page 205 ~ b3;
Page 206 ~ b3;
Page 207 ~ b3;
Page 208 ~ b3;
Page 209 ~ b3;
Page 210 ~ b3;
Page 211 ~ b3;
Page 212 ~ b3;
Page 213 ~ b3;
Page 214 ~ b3;
Page 215 ~ b3;
Page 216 ~ b1; b3;
Page 217 ~ b1; b3;
Page 218 ~ b1; b3;
Page 222 ~ b3;
Page 223 ~ b3;
Page 224 ~ b1; b3;
Page 226 ~ b1; b3;
Page 227 ~ b3;
```

```
Page 228 ~ b3;
Page 236 ~ b1; b3;
Page 237 ~ b1; b3;
Page 238 ~ b1; b3;
Page 239 ~ b1; b3;
Page 240 ~ b1; b3;
Page 241 ~ b1; b3;
Page 242 ~ b1; b3;
Page 243 ~ b3; b6; b7C; b7D;
Page 244 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 245 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 246 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 247 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 248 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 249 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 250 ~ Referra]/Direct;
Page 251 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 252 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 253 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 254 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 255 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 256 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 257 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 258 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 259 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 260 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 261 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 262 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 263 ~ Referra]/Direct;
Page 264 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 265 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 266 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 267 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 268 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 269 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 270 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 271 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 272 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 273 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 274 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 275 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 276 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 277 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 278 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 279 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 280 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 281 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 282 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 283 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 284 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 285 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 286 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 287 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 288 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 289 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 290 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 291 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 292 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 293 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 294 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 295 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 296 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 297 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 298 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 299 ~ Referral/Direct;
```

```
Page 300 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 301 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 302 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 303 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 304 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 305 ~ b1; b3;
Page 306 ~ b1; b3;
Page 307 ~ b1; b3;
Page 310 ~ b3;
```

Aollomân Mr. Belmont Liaison Section - Mr. Papich ALL IMPORMATION CONTAINED November 21, 1951 VIA LIAISON tel og a Ur. Allen W. Dulles Director Ocentral Intelligence Agency. 2430 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. Dear Allen: I was very nuch distressed to learn of the untinely death of your employees in the airplane crash which took place on November 17, 1955. I was particularly shocked to hear of the death of He had. many friends in the FBI and I know that they were all shocked to hear of his death. I wish to offer my deepest sympathy to you and nembers of the families involved. You can be assured that my associates in the FBI share in this expression. Sincerely yours, Cover memo Roach to Belmont \_... 11-21-55 SJP:fib 53 11 55 Parsons

DATE OF MAIL 1/- 7-55
HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR MR. EARLY TO BE KEPT PERMANENTLY IN HIS OFFICE, ROOM 1936 1734
SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.
SUBJECT JUNE MAIL C. J.A.
REMOVED BY 57 JAN 31 1956
FILE NUMBER 62 - 80750 -V

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

DATE OF MAIL//-/5'-5.	<u>s-</u>
HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR MR. MARINE OFFICE, ROOM 220 1734	ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNICLASSIFIED
SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTH	DATE 6/26/98 F 8PO HUM THIKED # 368,692
SUBJECT JUNE MAIL	C. I. A.
	REMOVED BY 57 JAN 31 1956
	FILE NUMBER 62-80750- C

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

HEREIN B UNCLASSIFIED DATEOLOGY BYSICAL SHE

4.

מתאת	OF MAIL	12 -	7-55
DATL	OF MAIL	12	

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR MR. I

TO BE KEPT PERMANENTLY IN HIS

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT \_\_ JUNE MAIL Central Intelligence agency

-5.9 DEC:21 1955

FILE NUMBER 62 - 80 750 - V

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

ALL DIFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATIO: 30 98 BYSTALL LH

DATE OF MAIL /2-5-55	
HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR MR. TO OFFICE, ROOM 3236 1734	BE KEPT PERMANENTLY IN HIS
SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.	
SUBJECT JUNE MAIL C.	ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATEOL-30-78 BYSEAULSH  L.A.

57 JAN 31 1956

FILE NUMBER 62 - 80750 - L

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

DATE	OF	MAIL	1/5/56
		_	

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR MR. July TO BE KEPT PERMANENTLY IN HIS OFFICE, ROOM 1934

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT JUNE MAIL C. I.A.

REMOVED BY

FILE NUMBER 62-80750-2743

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

ALL HIFORMATION CONTAINED
HERREIN IS THE CLASSIVED
DATEOROUS SELECTION
TELL COST

1/6/56

Legal Attache. Tokyo

Director, FBI

RELATIONS WITH CIA 80750-2747

Reurlet 12/12/55 reporting information received by you on April 12, 1955.

Since this information indicated that there was an alleged misuse of the Bureau's name, it should have been verified and reported to the Bureau much more promptly. is for your future guidance.

cc - Foreign Liaison Unit (route through for review) - Copy of The Copy FORMALE TO 1111 \*\* W. T. C. T

JSR: ric No (5)

CC/L/1-F/1

Based on memo dated 1/4/56 E. D. Mason to Tolson, JSR:rlc NOTE:

Tolson Boardman ... Nichols .. LEGAT Holloman \_ ALL INFORMATION COTTAINED 31 JAN 1 0 DATEO-02-CAROLATED DATEO

PER OGA

## 62-80750-2749 CHANGED TO 65-63838-1

FEB 6	1956	
<u>-</u>	90	
	00	

 $\mathcal{C}$ 

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATEOT-02-58 BYSEZAL-HHL

DATE OF MAIL /- 20 -56

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR MR. TO BE KEPT PERMANENTLY IN HIS OFFICE, ROOM

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT \_\_ JUNE MAIL Central Intelligence agency

REMOVED BY <u>SOFFR 1</u> 1958 FILE NUMBER 62-80750 -2759

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UPCLASSIFIED DATEM-02-98 BY STALLTH PEROGA

43ha

Office Memorandum • united states government

TROM:

Mr. MORE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEARIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

PATEOD CO THE PATEOD

ROSE

Tana

RADIO BROADCAST

O7:00 P.M., JANUARY 25, 1956

During captioned broadcast, Lewis mentioned that a Senate

Rules Committee approved a resolution on January 25, 1956, which posed

the Government. This is a resolution to set

During captioned broadcast, Lewis mentioned that a Senate Rules Committee approved a resolution on January 25, 1956, which posed trouble for one agency of the Government. This is a resolution to set up a joint watchdog committee to investigate and keep an eye on the operations and expenditures of CIA. According to Lewis, this move has been in the wind for a long time and probably should have been done long ago since there is no agency in Federal Government from which so much suspicious smoke has been curling for several years as this one. He stated that the basic setup of CIA is not a healthy one and its very nature invites trouble that good government should avoid.

Lewis stated that, from the time CIA was first set up at the end of World War II, there have been constant clues of an unhappy nature about it and constant charges that it is not going as it should. Suggestions for investigation of CIA are usually met with the argument that such an investigation would expose CIA's operations, agents, informants, and expenditures. Lewis feels it is not good business to have a public agency on which there is no check at all, not even a financial audit or a check on projects for which it spends its money. He quoted Senator Jenner as saying that Congress doesn't even know how

much money it gives CIA.

Lewis reported that he learned in the Far East that CIA was spending all sorts of money there for purported covers for intelligence a activities and many of these did not have a savory reputation. CIA, according to Lewis, is reportedly financing Radio Free Europe. Lewis stated that last Sunday he was confidentially advised by a foreign political expert who recently arrived in the United States for his emplicated expert who recently arrived in the United States for his emplicated purposes that Radio Free Europe broadcasts to Czechoslowakie are the opposite of what they should be and that the Communist Radio Prague has been recording these broadcasts and rebroadcasting them as their own propaganda.

cc - Ur. Nichols, cc - Ur. Boardman oc - Ur. Balmont	WDEXED	NOT THEODY	DAME BER	O()(
00 -	ROOM, 7881 NOEXED	184 744 31	0 1956.	
GMP:rm b	57C 151 151	A	403	

Memorandum to Mr. Nichols

January 26, 1956

According to Lewis, the White House is somewhat disturbed, and the President has just set up a special lay committee to make a study of CIA after several preliminary studies by individuals at the recommendation of the Hoover Commission. Lewis feels that the White House appointed committee will not amount to much since they seldom do and this one is under the chairmanship of James R. Killian, President of MIT, who, according to Lewis, has a record of statements that are soft toward Communism and subversion. Lewis added that he would not be surprised to see this committee get its juicy grant and perhaps some staffing from the Fund for the Republic. Lewis also made reference to the study of CIA made by General Mark Clark and the resulting report which was very critical of CIA.

### RECOUMENDATION:

For information.

Mil

Office Memorandum . United States Government

UR. A. H. BELMONT DATE January 20, 1956 ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED PATEOT 02-98 BYSEZAIA SUBJECT: PRESIDENTIAL BOARD TO PERIODICALLY REVIEW UNITED STATES FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

Reference is made to recent publicity concerning the prepared for the Director in anticipation of his possible appearance los before this board. Set forth is additional information concerning the board developed through liaison channels. On 1-16-56 Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) advised that he will

be working closely with the board and that in his opinion the key members of the group will be Dr. James R. Killian, Jr.; Admiral Richard L. Conolly retired: Lieutenant General James H., Doolittle, and General John E./Eull. feels that these four individuals will dominate the organization and the direction of the board's activities. believes. that the Executive Secretary of the group will very likely be Samuel Paul Johnston, who performed a similar function for General Doolittle when the latter surveyed CIA's operations. \_\_\_\_\_\_ anticipates that many complaints regarding CIA will be received by the board and that most of

such complaints undoubtedly will relate to differences between CIA and the military intelligence agencies regarding jurisdictional powers abroad. stated that he personally hopes that such matters are thoroughly aired so that the differences can be settled once and for all.

CIA, advised Agent Papich on 1-17-56 that officials of the CIA will begin briefing members of the board on 1-23-56. Allen Dulles, Director of CIA, advised Papich on 1-16-56 that he has instructed appropriate CIA officials to keep 8 the Liaison Agent advised regarding matters of mutual interest to the FBI and CIA which might be raised by the board.

With regard to Samuel Paul Johnston, he was the subject of a Special Inquiry conducted by us for the White House in July, 1954. The linvestigation did not develop any derogatory information. He was born in 1899 and is a graduate of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. He is currently the Director of the Institute of Aeronautical Science, New York. City, and he has been acting as an unpaid member of the Aeronautical Committee, Research and Development Board, Office of the Secretary of While connected with the Doolittle group, he was friendly and cooperative with the Bureau.

SJP:fjb (5) 1 - Mr. Belmont

1 - Mr. D. J. Sullivan

1 - Liaison Section 1 - Mr. Papich

17 JAN 26 1956

17 N 3 U 1028

Memo to Mr. Belmont from Mr. Roach

### ACTION:

- 1 . 1 E

When the Doolittle and Clark Committees were installed, the Liaison Section established contact with the groups for the purpose of offering any appropriate assistance and also to keep abreast of any matters pertinent to the Bureau. This arrangement proved to be beneficial to us. If you approve, steps will be taken to establish liaison with the Executive Secretary of the hoard for the same reasons.

board for the same reasons. Director's NoTation;

H get and

DATE OF	MAIL_	2-10-56

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR MR. TO BE KEPT PERMANENTLY IN HIS OFFICE, ROOM 1754

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT \_\_\_\_ JUNE MAIL Central Sutelligence agency

**59** FEB 22 1956

FILE NUMBER 62 - 80750 - 2767

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

MEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATEM-0Z-18-BY-SPIALLITE

ARCON OGA

المالية

Office Memorani UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT 2-10-56 DATE: MR. NICHOLS **∱**-from A. E. LEONARD ON SUBJECT: REQUEST OF CIA OFFICIALS FOR ASSISTANCE IN PAYROLL MATTERS Ocentral Intelligence Agence Viorerrowd Tele. Room As you will recall, representatives of CIA recently visited this Section in connection with our payroll setup on the 650 Computer. They were very much impressed with what we have done in the way of mechanizing our payroll. This morning one of the representatives who visited here called and asked if Mr. Row could be made available to visit CIA for a two-hour technical programming, discussion period next Thursday morning February 16. b3 indicated that a group of their management b6 people, possible 12 employees, were meeting at that time to discuss the mechanization of CIA's payroll. b7C of the General Accounting Office will attend this meeting to answer any questions concerning regulations or legal aspects of the problem. indicated they would like to have Mr. Row there to answer technical questions concerning the actual conversion of payroll from manual to mechanizing basis. RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that Mr. Row be permetted to attend this meeting with the understanding that any information he may give will be limited to the technical information normally made available to all agencies who have been inquiring concerning our payroll operations. As you know, Mr. Row is intimately acquainted with all the details involved in this work and has been handling most of the visits of technicians from other government agencies to this Section. cc- Mr. Mohr DEXED - 34 AEL: jam (4) 6 FEB 17/956 ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED 103

DATE OF MAIL 2-14-56

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR MR. TO BE KEPT PERMANENTLY IN HIS OFFICE, ROOM 1736/134

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT \_\_\_ JUNE MAIL Central Intelligence agency

REMOVED BY 59 FEB 28 1958

FILE NUMBER 62 -80750-2769

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE OF SY DIAL OF

7100

## ZIA Leaders Are Cool To 'Watchdog' Proposal

But Senate Rules Group Approval Of Closer Scrutiny Is Likely Soon By RICHARD FRYKLUND

The Central Intelligence Agency enthusiastically obeys the law which imposes strictest secrecy on its activities, but the agency still is subject to the scrutiny of several outside executive and congressional groups.

Soon-possibly today or tomorrow-a new group with the sole function of watchdogging the CIA is expected to get

Backers of the watchdog committee say that while it is true that four congressional subcom- This is the second of three articles mittees, the Budget Bureau and a new presidential commission all do look at some facets of the CIA, no congressional group keeps a close, constant check on suffered its great defeat-needit the way the Joint Atomic lessly. Energy Committee watches the also-secret Atomic Energy Commission.

#### Cool to Scrutiny

toward the watchdog idea. But Central Intelligence Group that perhaps the most distasteful part grew into the Central Intelli-of the expected Rules Committee approval of the bill will be telligence, which includes spythe public attention sure to ing in the traditional sense as follow.

intelligence activities of more terial in usable form and dethan a score of other agencies.

The genesis of the CIA goes time. back to the day Japanese bombs

As a result, the United States

on the Central Intelligence Agency and the attempts being made in Congress to set up a Watchdog Committee for it.

#### Organized After .War

To protect against future Pearl Harbors, a National Intelligence The CIA is reported to be cool after the war body created a agency is to gather foreign inwell as research into more conventional sources; co-ordinate The job of the CIA is to gather intelligence activities of other intelligence and co-ordinate the agencies, and assemble the maliver it to the policymakers in

Director of Central Intellishattered the morning calm at gence Allen Dulles meets once Pearl Harbor, December 7, 1941. a week with the heads of Army, Before the Japanese launched Navy and Air Force intelligence, that raid. American intelligence the National Security Agency, agencies knew when and where the Federal Bureau of Investiti was coming. But the information was never handed to the of the executive departments. right persons in coherent form. draw up summaries of latest estimates of a potential enemy's capabilities and to predict the potential enemy's probable course of action.

estimates—and These vigorous dissenting opinionsare taken the next day to the National Security Council by Mr. Continued on Page A-10, Col. 1

ALL INFORMATION CONTAIN

YEROGA

5 1 60 8 28 1956

Tolson

Rosea Nease

Winterrowd Tele. Room

Holloman

fle 62-80459

## CIA Leaders Are Coolto 'Watchdog' Proposal

Continued From First Page Dulles Sitting on the council are President Eisenhower. Vice President Nixon, Secretary of State Dulles, Secretary of Defense Wilson, and Office of De-Offices building. It will report di-fense Mobilization (Director Ar-rectly to the President, and only fense Mobilization (Director Arthur S. Flemming.

How the CIA arrives at the report will be made public. intelligence estimates and the nature of the estimates themselves are things the potential enemy would very much like to know. To guard that information, the CIA was given unprecedented powers of secrecy by Congress.

Can Set Own Pay Scales

The 1947 act setting up the agency specifies that the director need not make his spending public or explain the agency's organization or the identity of this personnel, its methods of operation or its sources. Mr. Dulles can hire or fire whom he pleases and set his own salary scales. He can bring as many as 100 unidentified aliens into this country every year, and he can hand out bribes to foreign code clerks or finance beautiful blonds

in Vienna apartments.
The CIA tells the public little. In the fiscal year ending last June 30 it spent just \$4,500 on public information—enough to pay one clerk to answer queries

with "no comment."

There are some checks on the CIA; however. The agency is directly under the President and the "National "Security," Council and must justify its activities there. And the CIA budget must be defended in detail before a small group of Budget Bureau officials:

An eight-man board of consultants was named by President Eisenhower last month to review semi-annually the work of the CIA. On the commission are Dr. James R. Killian, Jr., president of Massachusetts Institute of Technology, chairman; Admiral Richard L. Conolly, president of Long Island University; Lt. Gen. James H. Doolittle; Benjamin F Fairless, former head of United States Steel; Gen. John E. Hull, former commander of American Far East forces: Joseph P. Ken-nedy former Ambassador to England; Robert A. Lovett, former Secretary of Defense, and Edward (L. Ryerson, chairman 5 the Executive Committee of In-land Steel.

Double Function

The group has set up snop with a small staff in the Executive a few innocuous parts of each

The Presidential committee will have a double function: To provide an outside check on the CIA and according to some Congressmen, to take the heat off the agency generated by proponents of a congressional CIA watchdog committee. The CIA also is checked by

four subcommittees of Congress made up of 17 Congressmen. the senior members of the House and Senate ' Armed Services and Appropriations Committees.

The Senators are Democrats Hayden of Arizona, chairman of the Apropriations Committee, Russell of Georgia, chairman of Armed Services and second on Appropriations, and Byrd of Virginia second on Armed Services; Republicans Bridges of New Hampshire, ranking minority member of Appropriations and second man on Armed Services, and Saltonstall of Massachusetts. top Republican on Armed Services and second on Appropriations.

#### House Watchdogs

The members of the House who check the CIA are, on the Armed Services Subcommittee. Democrats Vinson of Georgia, chairman, Brooks of Louisiana, Kilday of Texas and Durham of North Carolina; Republicans Short of Missouri, Arends of Illinois and Cole of New York. Members on the Apropriations

Subcommittee are: Democrats Cannon of Missouri, chamman, Mahon of Texas and probably Sheppard of California: Republicans Taber of New York and Wigglesworth of Massachusetts.

The existence of these subcommittees was not known until a year ago, and the membership of all but the House Armed Services Subcommittee still is not divulged by the committees.

The CIA tells the Appropriations Subcommittees as much as they want to know about the agency's budget. Figures are not made public. They are concealed in the published Federal budget, in fact, by being scattered through appropriations for other agencies.

Get Complete Answers

The Armed Services Subcommittees receive intelligence reports and complete answers, according to Senator Russell, to all questions asked about CIA activities.

The subcommittee members do not pass CIA information on to their colleagues. The function of the groups is to asure the rest of Congress that the CIA is functioning properly. Other Congressmen must accept the good faith and judgment of the subcommittee members.

In addition to meetings with those informal but permanent subcommittees, CIA officials have occasional contact with the Senate Government Operations Committee, the Judiciary Committee, (subcommittees on immigration and internal security). House Foreign Affairs, Government Operations and Un-American Activities Committees and the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy.

The annual spending of the CIA is known conly to the Appropriations Subcommittees. Many guesses have been maderanging from a few hundred million dollars a year up to more than a billion. But the Hoover Commission said other intelligence exencles outspend the CIA so it is perhaps a fair guess to

ALI. INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNIT ASSIFIED DATEDT-02-95 By SPEALING

tion is no secret. Any cab driver

The work is compartmentalized. Employes in one building are not apt to know what those next door are doing, and only the director and his top two as-sistants know what everyone is doing.

Much of the work-perhaps 90 per cent—is routine research in unclassified documents—foreign publications, phone books, technical journals, newspapers, and the like. It is not the material, but the way it is put together and the conclusions that can be drawn that are important. . . mil

A minor number of employes are engaged in cloak-and-dagger activities abroad.

No Domestic Function

The CIA shas not domestic function, according to the law, but every once in a while a CIA man turns up with a bit of domestic intelligence—such as the time an agent reported erroneously that Far East specialist Owen Lattimore was about to leave the country.

CIA employes are not under Civil Service, but the agency uses Civil Service classifications and salary scales as a matter of convenience.

Job applications are mistrusted they might be from Communists trying to gain entry—and the ascney likes to seek out his

say the CIA budget is around own prospective employes. Higher pearance creates two impressions echelon workers are recruited valuable to him: Heds a man you can trust; he has nothing to Of all persons who formally hide.

Headquarters No Secret

Headquarters of the agency is a group of aged brick buildings officials. Every employe must Joint Chiefs of Staff and interest as the secret. Any can driver

As director of Central Intellia Force. He is 50 years old.

As director of Central Intelli- Force. He is 50 years old. tion is no secret. Any cab driver can take you there if you just ask for the Central Intelligence ask for the Cila's technical secretary of State, is head of the Cila's technical intelligence is a former Harvard Cila and co-ordinator of all Government intelligence activities.

Wr. Dulles, 62 years old, has had a long career in diplomacy, international law and spying. His exploits as an OSS agent in Switzerland during World War II have become spy-thriller classics.

The work is compartmental.

Head of the Cila's technical intelligence is a former Harvard law professor, Robert Amory, jr. He is 39.

He is as friendly and shaggy is specific ask for the Cila's technical intelligence is a former Harvard law professor, Robert Amory, jr. He is 39.

He is as friendly and shaggy

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATEOT-02-92 UYSPZAL

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Nichols	
Mr. Boardman	<del></del> .
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Mason	_
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Parsons	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tamm	
Mr. Sizoo	_
Mr. Winterrowd	
Tele. Room	
Mr. Holloman	
Miss Gandy	

Wash. Post and
Times Herold
Wash. News
:Wash. Star
N.Y. Herald
Tribune
N. Y. Mirror
N. Y. Daily News
Daily Worker
The Worker
New Leader
Thete.

102-80750-2774 in this file skipped during serialization.

# ina 6-20-62

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNICLASSIFIED
DATEON - CO. 78 DY SPE AND ST

DATE OF MAIL 2-20-56

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR THE CONFIDENTIAL FILE ROOM OF THE DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION.

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT JUNE MAIL Central Intellegence agency

REMOVED BY 5 9 MAR 1 3 1956

FILE NUMBER 62 - 80750 - 2775

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATEOTOLOGY BY PLAN SH
PER OCA

7462

# Office Momorandum

Office tylemorandum • united	D STATES GOVERNMENT
ro : UR. A. H. BELMONT	DATE: February 29, 1956
ROM: MR. R. R. ROACH	Tolson Dockman Dillore
SUBJECT: CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY PERSONNEL CHANGE	Harbo Mohr Parsons Rosen
	Tamm Sizoo Vinterrowd Tele, Room
This is to advise that Central	Intelligence Agency Gardy
(CIA), has been replaced by	What I
	The Bureau
occasionally <u>receives</u> correspondence signature of The Liaison Ager	nt was advised that
beginning 2-28-56 such mail will be	lirected to the Bureau
as coming from	D. Ø 1 13
The state of the s	DC / b3
will not become the at which time he will sign his	mail
ACTION:	· ***
	.9
The above information is be attention of the Mail Room, Reading 1 Section.	eing directed to the Room and the Espionage
in the state of th	a .
, graph	
SJP:fjb/7	ms buttoner #
· ·	62-80750-2777 17 MAR 6 1956
6 MAR 15 1956 EX-108	ALL INFORMATION CONTAINS

EX-108

DATE OF MAIL 3-2-56

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR THE CONFIDENTIAL FILE ROOM OF THE DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION.

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT JUNE MAIL Central Intelligence agency

REMOVED BY 177 MAR 1 9 1956

FILE NUMBER 62 - 80750 - 2783

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

PEROGA

CI - CECHCUHA

March 13, 1956

Mr. Francis E. Crosby Federal Bureau of Investigation 102 U. S. Court House Building Phoenix, Arizona

62-80750-2784

Dear Crosby:

Mr. Nichols has referred to my attention your letter of March 7 enclosing a letter you had received from Senator Hayden with reference to the Report of the Committee on Rules and Administration of the Joint Committee on Central Intelligence Agency. The Senator is taking a strong stand against a so-called "watchdog" committee.

The Senator also sent me a copy of the Report. In my reply, I pointed out that I appreciated his interest and noted his comments. I made a special point that the Bureau made a full disclosure of its activities to the Appropriations Committee.

I would suggest that you merely acknowledge Senator Hayden's letter by expressing appreciation for his thoughtfulness in sending you a copy of the report. You can then point out that you have noted his comments with interest.

With best wishes and kind regards,

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

Boardman
Nichols
Relimont
Harbo
Mohr
Parsons
Rocca
Tamm
Sizoo
Wincerowd
Trele, Room
Holloman
Gandy

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
DATEON-06-98
BYDRAIMIN
Parson-

FRANCIS E CROSBY

Phoenix, Arizona March 7, 1956

aux -sc

Dear Boss:

Enclosed is a letter which I received from Senator Hayden along with a Report of the Committee on Rules and Administration concerning, basically, the creation of a watch dog committee for the CIA. As you may recall, Senator Hayden opposes the creation of such a committee. On page 24 of the report under the caption of "Individual Views of Mr. Hayden," the Senator says, "If the CIA must have a watchdog! joing committee why not have one for the FBI?."

I am sure Senator Hayden does not mean to suggest that the FBI have one, but rather to point out how ridiculous it is to have a watch dog committee for CIA.

I have not acknowledged the Senator's letter because it does not seem to me to require an acknowledgment. I feel sure the Senator has sent the Bureau a copy but in the event he has not the attached may be of interest.

Everything goes along well out here. I have been having good luck with bank robbers. getting high time to crawl into a nearby hole and pull the hole in on top of one until after the election.

All the best to you and the family.

Sincerely

Enclosure (2 ENCLOSURE FRANCIS E. CROSBY

RECORDED - 122 . ach site Ministeris pignative 3-13-56 Ilm

4.1956

62-80750

ALL HISE LATION CONTAINED

CARL HAYDEN, ARIZ., CHAIRMAN

RICHARD B. ROSSELL, GA.
DENNIS CHAVEZ, N. MEX,
ALLEN J. ELLENDER, LA.
LISTER HILL, ALA,
HARLEY M. KILGORE, W. YA,
JOHN L. MCCLELLAN, ARK,
A. WELJ'S ROSERTSON, YA,
WARREN G. MAGNUGON, WASH,
SPESSARD L. HOLLAND, FLA,
JOHN STENNIS, MISS.
EARLE C. CLEMENTS, KY,

STYLES BRIDGES, N.
LEVERETT SALTONSTALL, 1 ASS.
MILTON R. YOUNG, N. DAK.
WILLIAM F. KNOWLAND, CALIF.
EDWARD J. THYE MINN.
JOSEPH R. MCCARTHY, WIS.
KARL E. MUNDT, S. DAK.
MARGARET CHASE SMITH, MAINE
MENRY DWORSHAK, IDAHO
EVERETT MC KINLEY DIRKSEN, H.L.
CMARLES E. POTTER, MICH.

Everard M. Smith, Clerk Thomas J. Scott, Asst. Clerk

## United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

March 2, 1956

Mr. Francis E. Crosby Officer-in-Charge Federal Bureau of Investigation Phoenix, Arizona

Dear Mr. Crosby:

You will note near the top of page 24 of the enclosed report there is a reference to the Federal Bureau of Investigation which is a part of my objections to the creation of a "Watch Dog" Joint Committee for the Central Intelligence Agency.

With cordial good wishes, I am,
Yours very sincerely,

u. s. s.

STRILL MARGON COMMENTS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

Hay Dens

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS LASSIFIED
DATEOTOL-95 BY SPLANLINGH

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED + EVOLOFE
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATED TO GET BY SPRAW OH

The Washington Merry-Go-Roand

# Dulles Rebuked by Brother Alle Parsons.

The brothers Dulles usually function so well together personally that some people for get there are two Dulles broth-

ers. John Fos-ter Dulles, the Secretary of State, is con-tinually in the headlines. magazine OF otherwise: his brother Allen is not



Allen Dulles, head of Contral Intelli-Echces, operates

an agency which tries to stay out of the headlines, has the job of reporting to the State Department and the Pentagon on the strength of Russia and her satellites, plus the danger of war any place in the world.

Recently the Dulles brothers had an unpublicized clash.

Allen went to see his brother

Allen went to see his brother John with an assistant, and dur-ing the course of their visit. told older brother John that he thought he was wrong in making speeches that Russia is losing out in the cold war. John Foster looked displeased.

But the younger Dulles continued to reprove his older brother. Foster's speeches, he said, had made it appear that the United States was not in trouble. On the contrary, the Russians were making headway and had us in real trouble.

Foster looked flustered. Finally he told his younger brother that his job was to evaluate and report on intelligence, not advise the Secretary of State on his speeches.

### Benson's Lobby Machine

Ezra Taft Benson may not have the most efficient Agriculture Department in the world, but he has operated one of the most efficient and effective lobbying machines seen recently on Capitol Hill. It was thanks to this machine that he did as well as he did with the farm bill. the farm bill.

Wash. Post and
Times Herald
Wash. News
Wash. Star
N. Y. Herald
Tribune
N. Y. Mirror
N. Y. Daily News
Daily Worker
The Worker
New Leader
Date 3-22-56

Tolson . Nichols . Boardman .

Belmont ... Mason .

Holloman Gandy.

Mohr.

ALL INFORM. HEREIN IS UN	ATION CONTAINED
DATEON-OL-	TE PRAINTS
PEROGA	Secretaria de la composição de la compos

DU MARKET

Most efficient member of the Benson team is Jack Anderson, ex-Congressman from California, a Republican who retired to operate his 300-acre pear farm near San Francisco, but came back to Washington this year to be Benson's Capitol Hill lobbyist. He found that farm incomes had dropped.

Anderson was regularly stationed outside the door of the Senate during the farm-bill debate, checking votes, available to answer questions. In-

side the Senate, he had two observers in the gallery watching amendments and the line-up of Senate votes; while in the office of Sen. Aiken of Vermont, manager of the farm bill, were stationed two Agriculture Department attorneys ready to answer questions and rewrite amendments.

In general, Senators appreciate this kind of contact with executive departments. It makes for better liaison between Capitol Hill and "down town."

New Interior Secretary?

Both the White House and confirming Senators would do well to take a good look at the law practice of Clarence Davis, Under Secretary of the Interior, before, if, and when he is appointed Secretary of the Interior to replace "Generous Doug" McKay.

Davis is senior partner in the law firm of Davis, Healey, Davies and Wilson, listed at 1521 Sharpe building, Lincoln, Nep. where his name is still on the door, and where he still, according to his partners, draws a retainer from the law firm.

When Davis was active in the

When Davis was active in the law firm he was the partner who handled the affairs of the Consumers Public Power Co. a state agency created by the legislature to handle Nebraska's public power. Since then, the youngest member of the firm, Richard D. Wilson, is handling Consumers Public Power business with, it is reported, a little long-distance to aching from Davis when it comes to big decisions.

However, Consumers Public Power has now received a contract from the United States Government to set up one of the highly important nuclear reactors, which might cause some conflict-of-interest embarrassment.

Note—Davis played an important part in awarding the famous Al Sarena mining claims to the MacDonald family in the Rogue River National Forest after former Secretary of the Interior Oscar Chapman, Democrat, had refused. Since the award, several million board feet of Douglas fir has been chopped down, but not a ton of ore has been mined. It was on the plea that the mining claim contained gold ore of commercial quality that part of the Rogue River National Forest was sold.

#### The Nixon Write-in

Sen. Styles Bridges, most powerful figure in New Hamp

shire politics, described the Nixon write-in vote as "100½ per cent spontaneous." He also said rather modestly that he and Gov. Lane Dwinell had urged New Hampshire voters to keep the two members of the Eisenhower-Nixon team.

Behind this is the fact that Bridges is determined to stop Gov. Chris Herter of Massachusatts in his ambition to be Vice.

resident. Herter is one of the original drafters of Eisenhower and is close to Assistant President Sherman Adams, ex-Governor of New Hampshire. There is no love lost between Adams and Bridges; so the New Hampshire primary presented an excellent chance for Bridges to put Herter in his place by encouraging a big write-in for Nixon.

(Copyright, 4956, Bell Syndreste, Such

Tolson
Nichols
Boardman
Belmont
Mason -
Mohr
Parsons
Rosen
Tamm
Nease
Wiaterrowd
Tele. Room
Holloman
Gandy

Wash. Post and Times Herald
Wash. News
Wash. Star
N. Y. Herald
Tribune
N. Y. Mirror
N. Y. Daily News
Daily Worker
The Worker
New Leader
Date

DATE OF MAIL 3-14-56

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR THE CONFIDENTIAL FILE ROOM OF THE DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION.

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT JUNE MAIL Central Intelligence agency

REMOVED BY

FILE NUMBER 62 - 80750 -2785

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

ali. Diformation contained Datrozofes by Secalult Pal 0294

7

# Office Memor. Jum

Office Tylondold UNITED	SIAIEL DVERNMENI
io : MR. A. H. BELUONT	DATE: March 22, 1956
FROM: MR. R. R. ROACH	Tolson Boardman Nichols Belmont Harbo
SUBJECT: DIRECTOR'S BRIEFING NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL MARCH 8, 1956	b3 Rosen Tsmm Sizon Vincetrovd
on March 21, 1956, Messrs. Parsons. Con the Laboratory briefed of the CIA regarding Laboratory is preparing a separate memo briefing. I thought you might be inter	ved this request and arad and Gallagher of  The randum concerning this rested in the impressions in comments made to
that the Bureau was to be congratulated ability of the personnel. In this connacted	commented on the technical nection it should be
was greatly impressed by the "imaginatic and ingenuity" which were employed by Ecommented that the Bureau's work seemed accomplished with a minimum of expense we may bear in mind	Bureau personnel.
None. For your information.  SJP:vec:fjb  1 - Wr. Belmont 1 - Wr. Parsons 1 - Liaison Section RECON  ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED	27 MAR 26 1956 DED - 17 TIMESON

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Boardman
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mason
Mr. Aropr
Mr. Aropr
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tamm
Mr. Nease
Mr. Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Mr. Holloman
Miss Gandy

BAUMGARDNER

THE CIA ORDERED THE REINSTATEMENT OF AN EMPLOYE WHO HAD BEEN FIRED BECAUSE HE MARRIED AN IRISH IMMIGRANT.

THE CIA EMPLOYE. JUAN J. RYAN OF SOUTH ORANGE. N.J. WAS TOLD THAT THE NOTICE HE RECEIVED LAST WEEK SAYING THAT HIS SERVICE WITH THE AGENCY WOULD BE TERMINATED IN 90 DAYS HAS BEEN CANCELLED.

RYAN HAD APPEALED HIS CASE TO ALLAN W. DULLES. CIA HEAD. DULLES HAD BEEN DIRECTED BY THE HOUSE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE, WHICH HAS CONGRESSIONAL JURISDICTION OVER HIS AGENCY. TO REPORT "WHAT THE FACTS ARE IN THE CASE."

THE CIA GAVE NO REASON FOR THE REVERSAL OF ITS ORIGINAL DISCHARGE ORDER.

3/19--W0930P

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATEO OF GREEN BY STRAINSH
FCLOGA

62-80750-2790

FILE

WASHINGTON CITY NEWS SERVICE

ENCLOSURE

Parsons . Mr. Rosen Mr. Tamm Mr. Nease Mr. Winterrowd Tele. Room Mr. Holloman Miss Gandy BAUMGARD

(DISMISSAL) THE HOUSE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE DEMANDED THAT ALLAN W. DULLES.
DIRECTOR OF CIA, PRODUCE "THE FACTS" ON THE FIRING OF A CIA EMPLOYE
ALLEGEDLY BECAUSE HE MARRIED AN IRISH IMMIGRANT. IT WAS LEARNED TODAY.
THE EMPLOYE, JUAN J. RYAN OF SOUTH ORANGE, N.J., WAS NOTIFIED BY
THE AGENCY THAT "HIS SERVICES WERE NO LONGER NEEDED" AND "WOULD BE
REP. HUGH J. ADDONIZIO (D-N.J.) SAID HE UNDERSTOOD THE CIA
RYAN'S BRIDE BEFORE THE MARRIAGE AND FOUND NOTHING AGAINST HER.
A CIA OFFICIAL SAID YESTERDAY THAT RYAN "HAS BEEN TOLD" HE HAS THE A CIA OFFICIAL SAID YESTERDAY THAT RYAN "HAS BEEN TOLD" HE HAS THE RIGHT TO APPEAL HIS DISMISSAL TO DULLES.

"IF HE DOES NOT APPEAL." THE OFFICIAL SAID. "HIS EMPLOYMENT WILL 3/16--FP818P

62-80750-2790 ENCLOSURE 64000

CIA Halts Firing of Employe Who Married an Alien

The Central Intelligence Agency yesterday revoked a job termination notice issued recently to an employe accused of marrying an alien without official permission.

The action had been taken against Juan J. Ryan, who lives with his bride at 605 Jefferson street, Alexandria.

Mr. Ryan appealed, a CIA spokesman said, on grounds that he had been led to believe the agency had granted his request for the wedding. Mr. Ryan, it was said, did not learn until after the marriage that his request had been disapproved.

quest had been disapproved.

A native of Brooklyn, N. Y., and a graduate of Georgetown University, Mr. Ryan is at present a member of the CIA training program.

Nickels
Boardman
Bed mont
Mason
Mason
Parsons
Rosen
Tamm
Nease
Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Holloman
Gandy

Stown

Wash. Post and
Times Herald
Wash. News
Wash. Star
N. Y. Herald
Tribune
N. Y. Mirror
N. Y. Daily News
Daily Worker
The Worker
New Leader

Date #### 1956

62-80750-279

ENC

ENCLOSURE

Warse

		11 2	_/
DATE OF	MAIL	4-2-	26

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR THE CONFIDENTIAL FILE ROOM OF THE DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION.

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT JUNE MAIL CENTRAL SINTElligence Agencys
59 APR 10 1956
REMOVED BY

FILE NUMBER 62 - 80750-2791

PERMANENT, SERIAL CHARGEOUT

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATEO TO AK BYSPEAKULTH

PER OGA

Office Ivienton Maint • United STATES OVERNMENT	
TO : MR. A. H. BELMONT DATE: Warch 23, 1956	
FROM: MR. R. R. ROACH  WALLES STORM OUTS STATE TO See Boardman Nichols State S	7
SUBJECT: READING IMPROVEMENT TRAINING CONTINUES SUBJECT:	
SINOPSIS:  The Central Intelligence Agency (Cla) provides of evidence and the control of the con	
Reading Improvement Training (speed reading) for its extraction employees. There is a basic course of six weeks in duration and an advanced course lasting four weeks. CIA claims that training has definitely been beneficial. Description of	
course and results set forth (4) U	0 20
None. For your information. In the event the Bureau is interested in additional information, the Liaison Agent is in a position to make inquiries at CIA. That Agency	S. I
has advised that it will be glad to demonstrate any of its equipment and furnish any other details concerning the courses.	1
NOT RECORDED worth further inquiry	
ADDENDUM - G. C. Gearty - 3/27/56 The Executives Conference on	7 111
5/13/52 considered the institution of a Rapid Reading Course. Confunction unanimously voted unfavorably as the work of the Bureau Involves detailed analysis of material: that even clerks should make careful	Process of the second
reading of material; that supervisory staff heeds details to suggest means of solving cases and that speed reading might defeat the detailed reading necessary for thoroughness which the Bureau desired	\$197.37
Supervisor  of the Training & Inspection Division attended U.S. Air Force Rapid Reading course 5/27/55 - 7/8/55 (1 hospital SJP:fjb)  b6 per day for total of 30 hours). He concluded b7C rapid reading could not be applied to Bureau was because of accuracy required; we must be 100%	the cork
that our personnel are not reading for general information; they must have thorough and immed arasp of the whole including details in order	ita
1 - Mr. Belmont desirable or practical and such a course could le Liaison Section prove dangerous. Director approved recommenda 1 - Mr. Papich desirable mot institute such a course.	
8 APR 11 1956 83 3 MM RECONVENDATION: No further action by them.	

Memo to Mr. Belmont from Mr. Roach

#### DETAILS:

COMPINATION

There is set forth herewith information of possible interest to the Bureau regarding a Reading Improvement Training course provided for its employees by the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). "Reading improvement" has sometimes been referred to as "speed reading." The over-all purpose of CIA's training is to make the eyes and mind cooperate more effectively together in the process of reading. Training in various reading skills is accomplished by instruction and application with mechanical aids and utilization of reading projects. At the present time, CIA has a basic course open to all employees, six weeks in duration, one hour of training per day. There is also an advanced course which is four weeks in duration and one hour per day is spent in class. About 30 per cent of each of the courses is devoted to lectures. The remainder of the time is spent on application through reading projects and use of mechanical aids.

When a student enters a reading improvement course, his reading skill level is determined by a series of tests and his eye mechanics are analyzed by a photographic record of his eye movements while reading. On the basis of this information and through consultation concerning his reading background and his particular office requirements, a plan of training is determined according to the individual's particular need. basic course is centered around three separate types of reading: (1) Study or thorough reading, which demands analysis and organization of unfamiliar or technical material. (2) Informational reading, which should be applied to material of a straightforward nature when reading for general concepts or to increase background information. (3) Scanning, to locate specific information or to survey a source for main ideas or scope. According to CIA, one person may, excel in thoroughstudy type reading and be deficient in scanning and informational reading, while another person may be able to scan very well and inot have good ability in study reading. (4) 4

With regard to the advantages gained through these courses, the CIA has advised as follows. A survey conducted by CIA disclosed that a hundred students who entered the course read at an average rate of 263 words per ninute and answered an average of thirteen out of twenty questions conrectly. When they were retested from three to five months after the completion

CONTRACT!

Memo to Mr. Belmont from Mr. Roach the state of the s

of the course, these same students read comparable material at an average rate of 389 words per minute with thirteen out of twenty questions correct. They, therefore, increased their rate of reading by 126 words per minute with no loss in comprehension.

As an example of accomplishment, CIA advised that in one instance a college graduate with a degree in political science spent approximately eight hours a day reading in his office. When he entered the course, he was an average reader but somewhat below average in study and analytical skills. In informational reading at the beginning of the course he read 273 words per minute with fourteen out of twenty questions correct. At the end of the course, he read at the rate of 406 words per minute with seventeen out of twenty questions correct. His efficiency in scanning increased from 605 words per minute with 90 per cent comprehension to 1,157 words per minute with 90 per cent comprehension. CIA advises that this is one of several examples which indicate to that Agency their Reading Improvement Training courses have been successful.

DATE OF MAIL 4-3-56

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR THE CONFIDENTIAL FILE ROOM OF THE DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION.

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT JUNE MAIL Central Intelligence agency

REMOVED BY DY APP 27 1818

FILE NUMBER 62-80750-V

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE OF TO THE SY SECALALITY
FEL OGA

DATE	OF	MAIL	4	6	-56

HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR THE CONFIDENTIAL FILE ROOM OF THE DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION.

SEE FILE 66-2554-7530 FOR AUTHORITY.

SUBJECT JUNE MAIL C. Q. Q.

REMOVED BY 3 APR 26 1958

FILE NUMBER 62-80750-V

PERMANENT SERIAL CHARGEOUT

DATE OF OF STANDS